W5YI

America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

Up to the minute news from the world of amateur radio, personal computing and emerging electronics. While no guarantee is made, information is from sources we believe to be reliable.

May be republished providing credit is given to The W5YI Report.

Fred Maia, W5YI, Editor, P.O. Box 565101, Dallas, TX 75356-5101
Electronic mail: fmaia@internetMCI.com Website: http://www.w5yi.org
Tel. 817-461-6443 FAX: 817-548-9594

In This Issue...

FCC Adjusts RF Safety Threshold
Revised OET RF Safety Manual Issued
Amateur Radio Call Signs to 9/1/97
New/Upgrading Ham Statistics
Gay/Lesbian Radio Club Snubbed
Retransmission of NOAA Alerts
ARS Census Over past Decade
Ham Growth Continues to Nosedive!
1997 Ham Census Figures vs 1996
Emerging Electronic Technology
Apple Computer Halts Mac Clones
Radio Wave Enforcement Actions
ARRL Director Candidate on No-Code
Comments: Privatized Enforcement

Vol. 19, Issue #18

\$1.50

PUBLISHED TWICE A MONTH

September 15, 1997

FCC Makes Upward Adjustment in Amateur RF Safety Threshold

The FCC has made another change in the RF safety rules which impacts the Amateur Service. A year ago (August 6, 1996) the FCC revised the Part 97 Rules to require an RF safety evaluation when the transmitter power exceeds 50 watts PEP.

The RF safety determination was required by the amateur station owner radiating more than 50 watts PEP regardless of the frequency band on which the operation occurred.

In response to a *Petition for Reconsideration* filed by the American Radio Relay League, the FCC's *Office of Engineering and Technology* (OET) has now changed the threshold frequency (when the "routine evaluation" requirement kicks in) to take into consideration the fact that RF exposure safety levels are frequency dependent. The most stringent RF safety standards are needed between 30 and 300 MHz.

Background

The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) requires agencies of the Federal Government to consider the impact of their actions on the quality of the human environment. Noting that it was widely accepted in the scientific world, the FCC in 1985 adopted the 1982 American National Standards Institute (ANSI) guidelines for use in evaluating the health effects of RF electromagnetic fields.

In 1992, ANSI adopted a new RF exposure standard (ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992) to replace its 1982 standard. The new standard contained a

number of significant differences and was generally more restrictive in the amount of RF exposure permitted in the environment. To meet its responsibilities under NEPA, the FCC last year replaced the 1982 standard with the new ANSI/IEEE guidelines.

The FCC regulations generally require a station owner to determine whether an RF transmitter complies with new maximum permissible exposure (MPE) limits based on criteria published by the American National Standards Institute and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (ANSI/IEEE.) Applicants must certify at the time a radio license is issued, modified or renewed that the transmitting facility complies with the new RF safety standards.

The new guidelines incorporate two tiers of exposure limits based on whether exposure occurs in an occupational or "controlled" situation or whether the general population is exposed or exposure is in an "uncontrolled" situation. The ARRL opposed amateur radio operation being considered to be an uncontrolled environment. Their view was that the less stringent "controlled" environment "...should be safe for all." The FCC applied the controlled exposure limits to amateur radio operators and their families, but said the "General population/uncontrolled exposure [limits] will apply to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons ...may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or can not exercise control over their exposure."

THE W5YI REPORT [Pub. No. 009-311] is published twice monthly by The W5YI Group, Inc., 2000 E. Randol Mill Road # 608-A, Arlington, TX 76011 SUBSCRIPTION RATE: (U.S., Canada and Mexico) One Year (24 issues) \$24.50 • Two Years: \$45.00 • Three Years: \$64.00. • Tel. 817/461-6443 Foreign Subscriptions via Air Mail: \$39.50 per year. (Payment may be made by Check, Money Order, VISA or MasterCard payable in U.S. funds.) Periodicals Postage paid at Arlington, TX. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE W5YI REPORT, P.O. Box 565101, Dallas, TX 75356

America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

Page #2 September 15, 1997

For the first time, the FCC determined that amateur radio transmitters would also be subject to the "routine evaluation" requirements to insure that they complied with the guidelines. A new Part 97 rule (Sec. §97.13(c) was added August 6, 1996, which required amateurs transmitting more than 50 watts to determine if their radiated signals exceeded the RF safety guidelines. This determination is called a "routine evaluation."

The new amateur rule refers to Sec. § 1.1310

Radiofrequency radiation exposure limits which contains the actual maximum permissible exposure (MPE) formulas. [See page 10.]

On August 25, 1997, the FCC's Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) released a Second Order in ET Docket 93-62 which responded to various Petitions for Reconsideration and amended certain aspects of the RF guidelines. OET also released an updated Bulletin No. 65, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields."

In the Order, the FCC affirmed the RF exposure limits that were previously adopted. Therefore, it is important to know that the RF safety limitations released last year were not changed. Several technical and legal issues were raised in the petitions and the FCC did make some amendments to the Rules.

Of interest to amateur radio operators was a revision to the 50-watt threshold for "routine evaluation" of amateur radio stations so that it reflects the manner in which the RF exposure limits change in the different amateur frequency bands. OET increased the threshold transmitter PEP power levels on bands lower than 10 meters and higher than the 70 centimeter (420-450 MHz) band since they do not fall in the frequency area in which the human body absorbs the most RF energy.

Some petitioners wanted the new RF guidelines to take effect immediately, others asked for additional time. The FCC decided to extend the transition period to October 15, 1997. "The transition period for the Amateur Radio Service only, will remain the same, and will end on January 1, 1998." Here is what the FCC said in the August 25, 1997, document that applies to the Amateur Service. [And we Quote from the Order]

Amateur Radio Service (ARS)

Historically, all licensees and applicants in the ARS have been categorically excluded from performing routine environmental evaluations for compliance with our RF exposure guidelines. In the [First] Report and Order, however, we concluded that there was a potential for amateur stations to cause RF exposure that would exceed our new limits. Accordingly, we decided to require amateur station licensees to:

- conduct a routine environmental evaluation if they transmit using more than 50 watts;
- take action to prevent human exposure to excess-

ive RF electromagnetic fields if the routine environmental evaluation indicates that our limits could be exceeded:

- demonstrate their knowledge of our guidelines through examinations; and
- 4) indicate in their applications for new licenses and renewals that they have read and understand our rules for limiting RF exposure.

We also amended our rules to require the amateur radio operator license examination question pools to include questions concerning RF safety at amateur stations, requiring an additional five questions on RF safety within each of the three written examination elements. [This meant that the VEC's Question Pool Committee had to come up with a minimum of 150 new questions since question banks must contain a minimum of ten times the number of questions that will be used in any one examination.]

In its petition, the ARRL claims that the 50-watt threshold we adopted in the *Report and Order*, above which amateur radio operators must evaluate their stations, is arbitrary and inappropriate. The ARRL points out that this threshold does not consider important factors, such as frequency, antenna height, antenna gain, emission mode, or duty cycle.

The ARRL also notes that many other radio services, including some with higher duty cycles, are categorically excluded from performing routine evaluations even though they may operate with similar or higher power. The ARRL requests that the 50-watt threshold be modified to incorporate power levels contained in its petition, which vary by frequency, or else be increased to at least 150 watts transmitter power output if all parts of the antenna are located at least 10 meters from any area of uncontrolled exposure.

Alan Dixon, an amateur radio operator, maintains that it is burdensome and unnecessary for amateur radio operators to perform routine environmental evaluations and, when necessary, EAs [Environmental Assessments.] Mr. Dixon states that the amateur radio community utilizes long-established customs of limiting duration of transmissions, using minimal power levels and establishing antenna installations which maximize propagation while inherently limiting unintended exposures. He believes that amateur operators should continue their traditional self-policing, free of "rigid overly-specific RF radiation parameters," given the "utter lack of evidence of detrimental effects thereby."

FCC Decision.

In the [First] Report and Order, we noted that amateur stations can transmit with up to 1,500 watts peak envelope power on a wide range of frequency bands from 1,800 kHz to over 300 GHz. We also noted that amateur stations are not subject generally to restrictions

→→→→→→→ Continued on Page 8, RF Safety

America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

September 15, 1997

GAY/LESBIAN RADIO CLUB SNUBBED!

A copyrighted article appearing in Sacramento, California's MGW Newspaper tells how the Rainbow Amateur Radio Association of Chesterland, Ohio had their paid advertising refused by World Radio News magazine. MGW - which stands for "Mom, Guess What" - bills itself as the city's first Gay/Lesbian newspaper. The twice-a-month publication was founded in 1978. The Rainbow Amateur Radio Association chapter apparently wanted to reach out to other similarly inclined Amateur Radio enthusiasts.

World Radio returned the \$30.00 check with a note stating that "We feel amateur radio organizations should emphasize common aspects of the hobby, not common aspects that have no relation to the hobby."

The copyrighted story by staff writer, Joy Fisher noted that *World Radio* accepted ads from an organization for Jewish amateurs and another for medically-oriented amateurs. "When queried how Rainbow's ad differed, Helen Noble, the wife half of the husband-wife team who have published the monthly magazine for 25 years, said "Those ads don't have anything to do with sexual orientation."

The caller was referred to her husband. Armond Noble, N6WR who "...asserted his right to accept or reject any ads he chose to" said his reason for rejecting the Rainbow ad was that 'Your actions are against my religious beliefs." Here is how the Rainbow ad read:

"Rainbow Amateur Radio Association is an international club for gay/lesbian hams and their friends. Weekly H.F. expeditions, chapters, awards programs and certified VE team, top quality newsletter, uncensored listserv E-mail: rar@en.com. Web page: http://www/telecen.com.rara/ or write PO Box 191, Dept. "C", Chesterfield, OH 44026-0191 for information leaflet and/or application."

Rainbow ARA split off from another gay radio group, the Lambda Amateur Radio Club, when that group sued ARRL's QST magazine for refusing to publish its ad. Rainbow members "...objected to Lambda's suit because of a more conservative philosophy regarding filing law suits." The state of Connecticut has an antidiscrimination law and "...QST settled the suit, agreeing not only to publish the rejected display ad six times at no cost, but also paying the plaintiff's attorney's fees, and, in addition putting into place a written anti-discrimination policy covering both ads and QST's employees. ...QST not only published Lambda's ad, but also an ad placed by Rainbow," the article said.

Rainbow is now contemplating contacting the Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund for assistance. This is the same public interest law firm" (not affiliated with the Lambda Amateur Radio Club) that sued the ARRL. RARA president, John W. Whitman is reportedly presently polling his club. He also said he would give the World Radio publisher one more opportunity to reconsider his rejection of Rainbow's ad.

AMATEUR RADIO STATION CALL SIGNS

...sequentially issued as of the first of September 1997:

Radio	Group A	Group B	Group C	Group D
District	<u>Extra</u>	Advanced	Tech/Gen.	Novice
0 (*)	AB0GC	KIØJT	(***)	KC0BXJ
1 (*)	AA1SP	KE1II	N1ZRK	KB1CEY
2 (*)	AB2EB	KG2ML	(***)	KC2CIU
3 (*)	AA3QB	KF3AH	N3ZYI	KB3BUX
4 (*)	AF4FF	KU4KG	(***)	KF4TVD
5 (*)	AC5NS	KM5LY	(***)	KD5CDL
6 (*)	AD6CE	KQ6RM	(***)	KF6NLV
7 (*)	AB7WH	KK7JP	(***)	KC7VZN
8 (*)	AB8BC	KI8DQ	(***)	KC8ILA
9 (*)	AA9UV	KG9LF	(***)	KB9RJB
N. Mariana	NHØB	AHØAY	KHØGT	WHØABI
Guam	(**)	AH2DE	KH2SI	WH2ANU
Hawaii	AH7V	AH6PD	KH7GC	WH6DEH
Am.Samoa	AH8O	AH8AH	KH8DK	WH8ABF
Alaska	ALØF	AL7QU	KLØKG	WL7CUM
Virgin Isl.	(**)	KP2CL	NP2JR	WP2AII
Puerto Rico	NP3M	KP3BC	NP3QM	WP4NNL

* = All 1-by-2 & 2-by-1 call signs have been assigned.

** = All 2-by-1 call signs have been assigned.

***= Group "C" (N-by-3) call signs have now run out in all but the 1st and 3rd call district.

Note: New prefix numerals now being assigned in Puerto Rico (KP3/NP3), Hawaii (AH7/KH7) and Alaska (AL0/KL0)

[Source: FCC Amateur Service Database, Washington, DC]

NEW AND UPGRADING AMATEUR STATISTICS

For the Month of August 1995, 1996 & 1997

License	Nev	v Amat	teurs	Upgrad	Upgrading Amateurs			
Class	1995	1996	1997	1995	1996	1997		
Novice	41	52	42	5	0	0		
Technician	2154	1632	848	11	0	2		
Tech Plus	255	130	87	304	316	233		
General	113	15	7	445	289	259		
Advanced	22	3	2	266	232	232		
Extra Class	16	5	1	321	176	145		
Club/Empty	232	59	54	9	0	0		
Total:	2833	1896	1041	1361	1119	871		
Decrease:	(33.1%)	(45.1%)	(1	7.8%) (2	22.2%)		

ABOVE LICENSING FIGURES ARE SHOCKING!

They show that the number of new and upgrading radioamateurs are drastically reduced from previous years. Part of the reason is the introduction of a new and expanded Element 2 and 3A question pool in July 1997. The statistics are developed by downloading and customizing the FCC's Amateur Service database. Each record reveals when amateurs are first licensed (shown with an "A") and upgrades (indicated with a "B"). The records are then accessed over a specified date range.

America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

September 15, 1997

Page #4

■ The National Weather Service (NWS) wants to automatically retransmit NOAA weather radio alerts over ham radio repeaters. They have requested the support of the ARRL. NWS says that some of these warnings are usually only valid for a short period of time ...such as 10 minutes before touchdown of a tornado.

NWS points out that the FCC requires broadcast and cable systems to automatically interrupt programming to retransmit certain EAS (emergency alert system) warnings when the control point is unattended.

Although the NWS warnings are not a mandatory retransmit, they comprise more than 80% of all EBS/EAS activations. The NWS maintains that this constitutes a precedent for automatic retransmission of federal gov-

Advan, General Tech.+ Tech.

Extra

ernment alerts at unattended stations to the public.

"If it is an FCC priority to retransmit weather warnings on commercial broadcast stations as a public service, why should amateur radio be excluded from also providing this free public service? ...especially when one of its goals is providing emergency communication."

During emergencies the federal government (Federal Emergency Management Agency) regularly conducts two way communication with amateurs on amateur bands. FEMA holds several amateur call signs issued specifically for this purpose (such as KF1EMA.) The NWS contends that retransmitted weather alerts are no different from FEMA communicating with hams on amateur frequencies during emergencies.

Increase

Novice

Total

AMATEUR RADIO GROWTH OVER THE PAST TEN YEARS

-AUG	7 TO F WITT.							1
		gust 31, 1						1
		116944				421077	+2.3%	1
		27.9%			18.9%	100.0%		
		gust 31, 1						1
		114737				429678	+2.0%	ı
		26.7%			19.4%	100.0%		I
		gust 31, 1				40.00		ı
		113068				436705	+1.5%	ı
		25.9%			18.4%	100.0%		١
		gust 31, 1						1
			111708			463172	+6.1%	ı
		25.1%			18.3%	100.0%		١
		gust 31, 1				dan wasalah		
						491670	+6.2%	۱
			25.4%		18.5%	100.0%		ı
		gust 31, 1						ı
						529680	+7.7%	1
				4.0%	18.8%	100.0%		I
		gust 31, 1						۱
				55899			+9.1%	١
				9.7%	17.0%	100.0%		١
		gust 31, 1						
63977	111890	126666	131638	85411			+7.3%	۱
				13.8%	16.3%	100.0%		ı
	The second secon	gust 31, 1						ı
						661509	+6.6%	١
			20.3%	17.7%	15.3%	100.0%		ı
		gust 31, 1						
				145193			+6.0%	
10.3%		18.5%	19.9%	20.7%	13.9%	100.0%		
		gust 31, 1						
74149	115518	128180	149244	156909	89833	713833	+1.8%	
10.4%	16.2%	18.0%	20.9%	22.0%	12.5%	100.0%		
		gust 31, 1				-		
						721835	+1.1%	
10.5%		17.3%		24.5%				
	(All figur	res provide	ed by FCC	Licensing I	Facility, G	ettysburg, P	PA)	L

Amateur Radio Growth Continues to NOSEDIVE!

Up until August 1995, the Amateur Service had been expanding at an average rate of 7%. It came to a screeching halt last year when the growth rate slipped to 1.8%. It is even less in 1997.

- 45% of all amateurs now hold either a Tech Plus or a Technician ham ticket - the highest percentage ever. (It was 43% last year and 41% in 1995.)
- The Amateur Service grew by only 1.1% (8,002) last year vs 12,313 in the year ending August 31, 1996 or 1.8%. Note that during five year period ending August 31, 1995 that the Amateur Service grew by about 50,000 per year.
- The total number of Codeless Technician Amateurs, however, grew by 12.8% (or 20,069.)
- The total number of Extra, Advanced, General and Novice (the ones that go to trade shows and buy the high dollar HF rigs) decreased by 2.2%.
- On the next page, we are listing the total number of Amateurs by license class and state for the year ended August 31, 1997 - with comparable figures for the prior year.
- Figures are for individual Amateur Radio stations only and do not include approximately 2,500 Club, Military Recreation and RACES stations.

Page #5

W5YI REPORT America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

September 15, 1997

Prior to the No-Code Technician license, approximately 60% of all amateurs held a General, Advanced or Amateur Extra Class license. This percentage is now down to 43.5%. The table below shows that growth in most states continues to

	decrease dramatically! The No-Code Technician license continues to increase, however. There are now 20,000 more Code-less Techs (and 12,000 less amateurs holding a license class that requires Morse code) than a year ago.																	
	AMATEUR SERVICE GROWTH REPORT - SEPTEMBER 1, 1996 vs SEPTEMBER 1, 1997																	
STA	TE EXTR		ADVA	NCED 1997	GENI 1996	1997	TECH 1996	PLUS 1997	TECHI 1996	NICIAN 1997	NO\ 1996	/ICE 1997	TOTAL 1995	ALL CL 1996	ASSES 1997	% I '95 %	'96 %	SE '97%
AL	1169 11	36	1996 1706	1684	1784	1779	2321	2301	2846	3210	923	825	10374	10749	10985	7.4%	3.6%	2.2%
AK AZ	330 3 1561 16	345	521 2584	509 2557	641 2665	614 2647	571 3089	577 3101	738 4102	940 4593	405 1164	357 1051	3175 14604	3206 15165	3342 15573	6.4% 9.9%	1.0%	4.2%
AR CA		787	1064	1059 15377	1074 16288	1062 15796	1342	1350 23084	1907	2170 32271	577 15786	526 14700	6458 107306	6731 109124	6954 110259	10.3%	4.2%	3.3%
CO	1274 13	318	2133	2103	2107	2064	2439	2450	2624	2991	1200	1101	11453	11777	12027 9338	6.0%	2.8%	2.1% (1.2%)
DE	1142 11 201 2	207	1531	1488 235	1915 295	1836 283	1844 330	1826 337	1462 265	1627 300	1559 191	1417 168	9433 1487	9453 1513	1530	4.6%	1.7%	1.1%
DC FL	81 4461 45	73	95 7965	89 7869	125 9366	125 9261	72 8434	69 8386	7150	68 8009	64 6350	59 5829	527 43149	502 43726	483 43938	(.8%)	(4.7%)	(3.8%)
GA	1613 16	551	2575	2569	2615	2601	3235	3269	3236	3685 725	1389 658	1282 593	14288 3380	14663 3420	15057 3391	6.8%	2.6%	2.7% (0.8%)
HI		365	507 595	496 602	557 718	541 712	712 781	696 803	663	1307	374	327	3702	3860	4116	9.9%	4.3%	6.6%
IL IN		706	4140 2389	4013	4716 2764	4572 2734	5159 3596	5081 3578	4854 3439	5405 3830	3262 1877	2919 1715	24681 15408	24802 15623	24696 15761	4.1% 5.6%	0.5%	(0.5%)
IA	755 7	771	1421	1388	1482	1424	1252	1238	1248	1361	979	910	7115	7137 7603	7092 7732	4.1%	0.3%	0.6%
KS KY		765 960	1167 1226	1147	1550 1452	1503 1448	1515 1858	1533 1860	1698 2347	1938 2632	922	846 1025	7613 8525	8903	9145	8.1%	(0.1%)	2.7%
LA ME		516	1344 719	1300	1383	1320	1496 825	1475 836	1626 909	1774	831 523	757 471	7513 4410	7530 4516	7487 4568	4.8%	0.2%	(0.6%)
MD	1516 15	540	2263	2197	2226	2167	2416	2396 3293	2416 2576	2483 2861	1373 2123	1274 1977	12029 16166	12051 16140	12057 16051	4.4%	0.2%	0.0% (0.6%)
MA	2293 23		2695 3609	2613 3564	3285 4271	3188 4139	3354 4572	4528	4679	5251	2367	2088	21451	21791	21910	5.4%	1.6%	0.5%
MN MS		534	1995 815	1975 819	2295 859	2219 843	2179 918	2164 912	2165 1194	2456 1357	1183	1046 460	10852 4634	11021 4795	11081 4925	5.4%	1.6%	0.5%
МО	1449 15	503	2246	2198	2595 578	2550	2516 523	2510 543	2788 729	3277 878	1416 336	1241 303	12699 2831	13010 2952	13279 3100	6.3% 9.6%	2.5%	2.1% 5.0%
MT NE		328 408	472 773	478 758	957	570 932	826	813	698	821	444	398	4103	4098	4130	5.5%	0.1%	0.8%
NV		457 705	703 760	705 741	839 954	832 940	825 1057	859 1065	1082	1266 1119	341 533	316 494	3991 4870	4215 4970	4435 5064	8.9% 6.5%	5.6%	5.2% 1.9%
NJ NM	2218 22	254	3146 941	3056 923	3441 902	3311 855	3806 931	3716 941	2854 1429	3102 1634	2441 326	2245 292	18017 4994	17906 5155	17684 5264	3.7% 9.3%	(0.6%)	(1.2%)
NY	3888 38	895	5715	5531	6670	6466	7455	7360	7075	7777	6194	5457	37316	36997	36486	3.6%	(0.8%)	(1.4%)
NC ND		163	2930 245	2930	3103	3091 362	3634 348	3655 349	4354 354	4907	1889	1837 192	17083 1697	17830 1715	18449 1705	8.2% 7.1%	4.4%	3.5% (0.6%)
OH	3218 33	311	4946 1512	4841 1481	5542 1468	5423 1427	7842 1944	7740 1933	6957 2508	7741 2822	3690 1020	3418 916	31901 9096	32195 9417	32474 9557	5.2% 7.5%	0.9%	0.9%
OR	1298 13	341	2179	2148	2728	2663	2655	2681	2750	3172	1435	1274	12685	13045	13279	6.7%	2.8%	1.8%
PA RI		155 365	4491 370	4377 353	5161	5010	5461 633	5461 620	4558	5111	3217 383	2926 352	25917 2618	26003 2654	26040 2618	4.8%		(0.1%)
SC SD		760	1107 314	1101 308	1354 371	1345 357	1403 281	1416 287	1403	1624 343	624 164	580 147	6382 1582	6624 1605	6826 1626	7.9% 4.5%	3.8% 1.5%	3.0%
TN	1553 15	593	2378	2327	2314	2309	3232	3244	3286	3690	1351	1228	13721	14114	14391	6.6%	2.9%	2.0%
TX		523	7592 835	7473 833	7667 768	7530 766	8824 1722	8785 1742	9645 3041	10914 3514	4021 697	3627 641	41585 7086	42551 7567	43329 8019		2.3% 6.8%	
VT VA	266 2	268	333 3085	327 3039	434 3064	422 3036	427 3497	427 3523	538 3450	623 3888	210 1876	195 1724	2154 16791	2208 17133	2262 17400	9.3% 6.2%		
WA	2473 2		3786 739	3758 729	4478 944	4423 938	5211 1280	5227 1300	5861 1927	6680 2213	2838 738	2555 659	23994 6054	24647 6230	25168 6463	7.4% 8.3%	2.7%	2.1%
WI	1208 12	225	1852	1820	2160	2109	2034	2034	2350	2694	1169	1058	10570	10773	10940	5.9%	1.9%	1.6%
GU	188	188	240 50	234 48	289 65	291 64	318 105	313 116	417 192	468 221	205 164	161	1611	1657 639	1655 616	6.3%		(0.1%)
PR VI		305	587 53	584 51	782 85	796 80	2367 60	2381 58	728 75	858 75	4100 40	3811 38	8696 359	8850 369	8735 355	2.1%	1.8%	(1.3%) (3.8%)
Othe	r_ 130 _	133	88	102	109	124	137	150	376	427	63	62	762	903	998	28.9%	17.1%	10.5%
	10.4%		5518 16.2%		18.0%	1	49244 20.9%	1	56909 22.0%		12.5%		701509 100%	713833	721835 100%	6.0%	1.8%	1.1%
97:	756	81	11	3398	12	5414		8392		76978		11982						
% 1	nc.+2.1	5%		15.7%		17.3%	(0	20.7%	+1	24.5%	-(8	11.3%	100%	100%	100%			
/"	(*** = Other includes U.S. small island possessions and APO/FPO addresses.)																	

America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

Page #6

September 15, 1997

CUTTING EDGE TECHNOLOGY

■ Wireline "cable" is being touted as the Internet delivery method of the future! Bill Gates (Microsoft CEO) invested \$1 billion in cable operator "Comcast" to speed the convergence of the TV and the personal computer. The investment gives Microsoft an 11.5% stake in the nation's No. 4 cable TV company.

Oracle Corp. has big plans for "NC enhanced television." The slimmed down Network Computer was invented by Oracle. And as mentioned previously, Microsoft paid \$425 million for the WebTV Network so viewers can surf the Internet from their TV sets.

The cable industry itself is pushing their "@Home Network" which went public in July. Investors made that firm worth \$2.4 billion even though "@ Home" lost nearly \$23 million on revenue of only \$2 million from 35,000 subscribers. (Its shares more than doubled the \$10.50 offering price.) Another new cable TV/web service is Time-Warner Cable's "Road-Runner Network."

The big selling point of cable modem technology is speed ...up to 300 times faster than a 28.8 kbs modem.

COMPUTER INFO

■ Apple Computer is stopping Mac look-alikes by buying the largest maker of MacIntosh clones (for \$100 million) and denying new Apple technology to other clone makers (especially Motoroia.) The original thinking was that Mac clones would enlarge the market for MacIntosh software. But that is not what happened! MacIntosh PC's continued to lose market share while Power Computing sales soared! The purchase of Power Computing will also permit Apple to sell Macs direct. Apple also said it is considering making PCS that run Windows software.

INTERNET NEWS

■ "The Backward Pages" gets my vote for the least useful site on the Internet! The site asks you to type in the URL of any Web page, and then loads that page backwards (mirror-image) so that it reads from right to left. Claims to be great for dyslexics. URL: http://smeg.-com/backwards/>

- "RocketMail" is a free, private, secure e-mail service. But unlike "Juno.-com", it is web--based. The web itself provides the e-mail software. You can access your mail from anyplace with a web browser. RocketMail has a spell checker, an address book, supports attachments and has an "anti-spam" control feature. Known spammers are automatically blocked. But RocketMail and Juno are similar in one respect. They are both ad supported. See < http://www.rocketmail.com >
- Headquartered in San Jose, CA, the Internet Shopping Mall is the world's biggest web commerce site. They have a whopping 27,000 stores online? The mall has 12 main "floors" and hundreds of "departments." Nearly a million visitors go there monthly. A frame-enabled return feature lets visitors go back to the mall corridor without using the back button. The mall's revenues come from commissions obtained through its back-end "OrderEasy Secure Electronic Commerce Service." < http://www.internetmall.com >

WASHINGTON WHISPERS

■ The FCC has shut down an unlicensed FM broadcast radio station operating on 89.9 MHz from the apartment of James Pierrilus in Fort Walton Beach, FL. The station operator, voluntarily handed over his transmitter to the FCC officials, thereby ending the operation. He could have been fined \$11,000 or jailed for up to one year or both.

Victor Pessaro of Melbourne, FL had his Petition for Reconsideration seeking review of a \$750 FCC fine denied. Pessaro had been found operating out of the CB band with high power ...and falled to allow an FCC inspection.

The FCC also affirmed the \$1,600 fine against **Robert J. Powers of Puyal-lup, WA** for operating a radio station on 27.455 MHz - a frequency not allocated to the Citizen's Radio Service.

This month, the Senate is preparing to confirm four new commissioners, including a new chairman, the FCC's general counsel Bill Kennard.

Outgoing Chairman Reed E. Hundt talked about his four years at the FCC in a speech last week before the IEEE. Hundt said: "When I started as chairman at the end of 1993 there were 30,000 Internet domain names; now there are 1.6 million.

"There was no such thing as a browser; now every cp (that's computer person) rides the info highway.

"The small handful of Internet access providers charged by the minute, with costs reaching triple figures per month; now \$19.95 buys you all the bits you can eat and ISP's (intrepid sellers of progress) number 4000 and counting upwards.

"The law supported monopolies and regulation in telco and cable; now the FCC has got a new law that backs competition and deregulation in all communications markets -- which, I remind you, are almost three times the size of the software market." Hundt said he particularly enjoyed "...the Internet stuff..."

AMATEUR RADIO

- Check out Ham Trader's web site. They have links to just about everything associated with Amateur Radio. Also a huge classified ad section. Location is: http://www.hamtrader.com
- Jim Carson, WK2K of Ithaca, NY is running for ARRL Director of the Atlantic Division. One of his platform planks is the abolition of Morse code as a licensing requirement. "Morse will live on in ham radio regardless of whether it is a testing requirement or not simply because it is fun for those who enjoy it," he said. "However, to all other radio services, it is dead."

"The league solicited donations (in addition to dues) earmarked for the exclusive use of the international preservation of frequencies. Any use of those funds for browbeating other countries into maintaining the cw testing is a clear misappropriation of funds." Jim said in a recent letter to ARRL VP, Dave Sumner, K1ZZ.

"I oppose futilely wasting limited ARRL funds to maintain cw testing for an international treaty requirement or for hampering those legitimately handicapped from enjoying HF phone operation. ...I do sympathize with those who object to the cheaters who use a false doctor's statement to circumvent the current legal cw testing requirement. But I would rather see ten cheaters get licenses than one handicapped person [kept] from getting a meaningful license. The real solution is to have the FCC handle exemptions since the local VE team is not set up to handle all the legal ramifications."

"If I am out of step with what the membership wants, they will pull the lever for the other person - that's called democracy," Carson said.

Page #7

America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

COMMENTS ON PRIVATIZED RADIO ENFORCEMENT

RM-9150 is a *Petition for Rulemaking* filed on March 28, 1997 by the American Radio Relay League which seeks to create a streamlined, privatized enforcement process for rule violations in the Amateur Service. The new proposed procedures would only address serious malicious interference cases.

Under the proposal, the pre-trial administrative work and presentation of the case to the FCC's Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) would be handled by the ARRL instead of the FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau. The evidence gathering would be prepared by the ARRL's Amateur Auxiliary, a volunteer monitoring corps authorized by (1982) Public Law 97-259 and administered by the League's Section Managers. The Amateur Auxiliary has a formal agreement with the FCC to monitor the airwayes for rules violations.

This past week, two contrasting public comments were received by the FCC. They did agree on one point, however. The ARRL should not be the only group authorized to bring interference enforcement cases directly to the FCC's judicial department.

KPRA SUPPORTS PRIVATIZED ENFORCEMENT

The Keller Peak Repeater Association (KPRA) supports the new procedure but opposes the limitation that only members of the ARRL's Amateur Auxiliary be allowed to tender cases to the FCC's Administrative Law Judge. KPRA, which is currently involved in malicious interference to its coordinated repeater, wants the Commission to "...act expeditiously to adopt the proposed procedures and to make those procedures available to any amateur licensee. ...There is simply no valid reason in law or fact for this [ARRL only] limitation."

The well done comments were professionally completed by Attorney Richard L. Anglin, Jr., N6KUB of the law firm of Anglin & Giaccherini, of Del Mar, California.

KB9FO OPPOSES ARRL PETITION

Henry Ruh, KB9FO of Crown Point, Indiana (and editor of ATV Quarterly) filed scathing comments in opposition. Ruh said the proposal amounted to the creation of an ARRL private sector radio police force. "Such action, if adopted by the Commission, would likely lead to serious breech of Government enforcement obligations, and create a system of arbitrary capricious, superfluous and egregious actions and counter actions, promulgated for individual and political agenda of League Officials, individuals and groups...."

"The last thing Ham Radio needs is a collection of self righteous self appointed 'Barney Fife' and 'Deputy Dawg' radio Gestapo agents, armed with Government approval and their own interpretation of the FCC's rules, performing interdiction, investigation, invasion of privacy, and violation of Constitutional rights, covered by Federal immunity as performing quasi Government work, with few limitations, no legal recourse, no individual responsi-

bility, and no control through disinterested third party adjudication."

"The ARRL's bringing of this new Petition before the FCC is either a statement that the OO [Official Observer] program is a failure, or a means to a further end, the ARRL's control of Ham Radio in new ways....

"The ARRL states that the League would administer the complaint and compliance portion without notice to the station... In the scenario of the ARRL's petition, the ARRL performs the services of the FBI, to use it's deputized agents to spy and gather secret files on individual stations. If and when the ARRL has gathered enough evidence (in its own judgement) to present a case to the Government, or to act on its own under Government auspices, only then is the station informed that such a file exists. ... the actions constitute Police Action, and a violation of Federal Constitutional guarantees that prevent the invasion of privacy, trespass, and the accumulation of secret 'hit lists' by Government agencies. The ARRL can offer no guarantee nor prevent abuse of their proposed system....

"The value to having a Federal employee perform the work of compliance and complaint adjudication is, as with any legal system, the adjudicator has no personal interest to conflict with the case brought before it. Such is not the circumstances when the parties all have an inherent state in the outcome of the process, and therefore have a built in bias and self interest agenda, regardless of the specifics of each case so presented.

"The ARRL would promote itself as sole judge, jury and arbiter in matters which reflect on ARRL policy, band plans, business and personal agendas. One need only look to the creation of the NFCC (National Frequency Coordination Council) created, incorporated and funded by the ARRL, to see the avarice, self serving and political agendas of the individuals elected to operate and direct the actions of this self appointed group."

"Further, the ARRL petition, sets up a system which interdicts and usurps the individuals right to directly petition the Government, and sets the ARRL as a quasi Government agency, with its ownrules, policies and procedures, with no recourse except expensive civil suit in Federal Court, which may yet be prevented under the latest Federal Law, which prevents such action against individuals and groups who perform Government work as volunteers.

"In fact, the entire ARRL proposal is a sham and an abuse of the FCC's processes. ...First the ARRL creates the problem, then the ARRL creates the petition to administer its own program to solve the problem it created! The Fox guarding the hen house, and another built-in conflict of interest for the ARRL.

"The ARRL has failed to make a convincing case that the ARRL alone requires special powers and privileges to solve an exceedingly microscopic problem. The ARRL has failed to express in concrete terms, how anyone would benefit from its actions under the special power and privileges it proposes.

September 15, 1997

W5YI REPORT

America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

RF Safety Rules Amended - Continued from Page 2

on antenna gain, antenna placement, duty cycles, and other relevant exposure variables and, as a result, the possibility of human exposure to RF electromagnetic fields in excess of the guidelines could not be completely disregarded. Therefore, we came to the conclusion that a categorical exclusion for all amateur stations is not justified. We continue to believe that is the case. However, we now conclude that a uniform 50-watt categorical exclusion threshold, as adopted in the *Report and Order*, would cause many amateur station licensees to perform unnecessary routine environmental evaluations.

The ARRL is correct that our MPE limits are frequency dependent. Because amateur stations are permitted to transmit in frequency bands covering a wide range of frequencies, the MPE limits that might apply to any particular amateur station operation can vary dramatically.

For example, at 1,897 kHz (in the 160 meter band) the MPE limit for general population/uncontrolled exposure is 50 mW/cm². At 29 MHz (in the 10 meter amateur band) the MPE limit for general population/uncontrolled exposure is about 0.2 mW/cm².

The ARRL argues, quite correctly, that by applying a single power threshold above which a routine environmental evaluation must be performed, the variations that occur in the RF exposure limit as the station transmitter frequency changes are disregarded. The ARRL proposes, in its petition, that we scale the power threshold to match the RF exposure limit.

We believe that this proposal makes sense for frequency bands above 10 MHz. However, on frequency bands below 10 MHz, persons are more likely to be located in the "near-field" of the amateur station antenna, where the field strength can vary dramatically in a very short distance.

The near-field of an antenna generally extends out to a distance of $2L^2/\lambda$ from the antenna, where L is the effective length of the antenna and λ is the wavelength of the signal. For a typical amateur station using a half-wave dipole and operating on 10.125 MHz, the near field would extend out to points approximately 15 meters from the antenna. As the frequency decreases below 10 MHz, the size of the near-field increases (provided the effective length of the antenna is maintained.) As frequency increases above 10 MHz, the size of the near-field decreases.

In addition, a simple scaling of the power threshold to match the RF exposure limit below 10 MHz would result in extremely high-powered operations being permitted without any routine environmental evaluation. We believe that a flat 500-watt power threshold below 10 MHz is necessary to ensure that these high-powered amateur stations do not cause human exposure to excessive RF electromagnetic fields. Accordingly, we are adopting the ARRL's proposal by specifying a transmitter power threshold for each individual ARS frequency band.

As indicated in the table shown in Section 97.13(c) of the revised rules, the power threshold for transmissions in the frequency bands below 10 MHz is 500 watts. We have also established this threshold for amateur repeater stations, which are normally located high above ground level and often at commercial sites, and we will base exclusions for these antennas on factors similar to those for paging and cellular antennas, as shown in the revised table, since their operation is similar. For frequency bands above 10 MHz, the power threshold varies from 50 watts to 450 watts.

We believe the revised power thresholds for the ARS will eliminate burdensome and unnecessary requirements for most radio amateurs, and thus address the overall concerns raised by the ARRL and Mr. Dixon. These new thresholds, as well as some clarifying language we have added to Section § 97.13(c), also help protect the public from excessive exposure to RF electromagnetic fields produced by ARS stations by requiring that their licensees perform routine environmental evaluations and take appropriate actions if they operate their station in a manner that could cause human exposure to RF electromagnetic fields above that permitted under our guidelines. [End Quote]

Part 97 - AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE

Section § 97.13 is amended by revising paragraph (c) and adding paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) to read as follows:

§ 97.13 Restrictions on station location.

- (c) Before causing or allowing an amateur station to transmit from any place where the operation of the station could cause human exposure to RF electromagnetic field levels in excess of those allowed under Section § 1.1310 of this chapter, the licensee is required to take certain actions.
- (1) The licensee must perform the routine RF environmental evaluation prescribed by § 1.1307(b) of this chapter, if the transmitter PEP exceeds the following limits:

TRANSMITTER PEP THRESHOLD POWER LIMITS							
Wavelengt	h Band Transm	nitter Power (Watts)					
	MF						
160 meters	(1800-2000 kHz)	500 Watts PEP					
	HF						
80 meters	(3.50-3.75 MHz)	500 Watts PEP					
75 meters	(3.75-4.00 MHz)	500 Watts PEP					
40 meters	(7.0-7.3 MHz)	500 Watts PEP					
30 meters	(10.10-10.15 MHz)	425 Watts PEP					
20 meters	(14.00-14.35 MHz)	225 Watts PEP					
17 meters	(18.068-18.168 MHz)	125 Watts PEP					

America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

September 15, 1997

Wavelength Band	ransmitter Power (Watts)
15 meters (21.00-21.45 MHz	2) 100 Watts PEP
12 meters (24.89-24.99 MHz	z) 75 Watts PEP
10 meters (28.0-29.7 MHz	50 Watts PEP
VH	
6, 2, 11/4 meters (All bands)	50 Watts PEP
UHI	2
70 cm (420-450 MHz)	70 Watts PEP
33 cm (902-928 MHz)	150 Watts PEP
23 cm (1240-1300 MHz)	200 Watts PEP
13 cm (2300-2450 MHz)	250 Watts PEP
SHI	
All 1.2 cm - 9 cm bands	250 Watts PEP
EHR	
All 6 mm and shorter band	s 250 Watts PEP

(2) If the routine environmental evaluation indicates that the RF electromagnetic fields could exceed the limits contained in § 1.1310 of this chapter in accessible areas, the licensee must take action to prevent human exposure to such RF electromagnetic fields.

Further information on evaluating compliance with these limits can be found in the FCC's OET Bulletin 65. "Evaluating Compliance with FCC-Specified Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields." [End of new Part 97 Rules]

Development of Revised OET Bulletin 65

Since 1985, the FCC has made available a technical publication designed for use by licensees as an aid in evaluating compliance with the Commission's exposure guidelines. This publication has now been updated to reflect the new quidelines.

In September, 1996, a draft of the revised Bulletin 65 was sent to several outside reviewers for comment and suggestions. (W5YI was one of those who reviewed the publication.) Follows are some excerpts from OET Bulletin No. 65 as applies to the Amateur Radio Service. [Again, these are verbatim quotes from the bulletin.]

Operations in the Amateur Radio Service

In the FCC's recent Report and Order, certain amateur radio installations were made subject to routine evaluation for compliance with the FCC's RF exposure guidelines. Also, amateur licensees will be expected to demonstrate their knowledge of the FCC guidelines through examinations. Applicants for new licenses and renewals also will be required to demonstrate that they have read and that they understand the applicable rules regarding RF exposure. Before causing or allowing an amateur station to transmit from any place where the operation of the station could cause human exposure to RF radiation levels in excess of the FCC guidelines amateur licensees are now required to take certain actions.

A routine RF radiation evaluation is required if the transmitter power of the station exceeds the levels shown in Table 1 and specified in 47 CFR § 97.13(c)(1). Otherwise the operation is categorically excluded from routine RF radiation evaluation, except as a result of a specific motion or petition as specified in Sections 1.1307(c) and (d) of the FCC's Rules, [Note: This section provides an appeal procedure whereby complainants may petition the Government in specific cases - even though the transmitting station may be categorically excluded from routine evaluation.] These levels were chosen to roughly parallel the frequency of the MPE limits of Table 1 in Appendix A.

The Commission's Report and Order instituted a requirement that operator license examination question pools will include questions concerning RF safety at amateur stations. An additional five questions on RF safety will be required within each of three written examination elements. The Commission also adopted the proposal of the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) that amateur operators should be required to certify, as part of their license application process, that they have read and understand our bulletins and the relevant FCC rules.

When routine evaluation of an amateur station indicates that exposure to RF fields could be in excess of the exposure limits specified by the FCC, the licensee must take action to correct the problem and ensure compliance. Such actions could be in the form of modifying patterns of operation, relocating antennas, revising a station's technical parameters such as frequency, power or emission type or combinations of these and other remedies.

In complying with the Commission's Report and Order, amateur operators should follow a policy of systematic avoidance of excessive RF exposure. The Commission has said that it will continue to rely upon amateur operators, in constructing and operating their stations, to take steps to ensure that their stations comply with the MPE limits for both occupational/controlled and general public/uncontrolled situations, as appropriate. In that regard, amateur radio operators and members of their immediate household are considered to be in a "controlled environment" and are subject to the occupational/ controlled MPE limits. Neighbors who are not members of an amateur operator's household are considered to be members of the general public, since they cannot reasonably be expected to exercise control over their exposure. In those cases general population/uncontrolled exposure MPE limits will apply.

In order to qualify for use of the occupational/controlled exposure criteria, appropriate restrictions on access to high RF field areas must be maintained and educational instruction in RF safety must be provided to individuals who are members of the amateur operator's

September 15, 1997

W5YI REPORT America's Oldest Ham Radio Newsletter

household. Persons who are not members of the amateur operator's household but who are present temporarily on an amateur operator's property may also be considered to fall under the occupational/controlled designation provided that appropriate information is provided them about RF exposure potential if transmitters are in operation and such persons are exposed in excess of the general population/uncontrolled limits.

Amateur radio facilities represent a special case for determining exposure, since there are many possible antenna types that could be designed and used for amateur stations. However, several relevant points can be made with respect to analyzing amateur radio antennas for potential exposure that should be helpful to amateur operators in performing evaluations.

First of all, the generic equations described in this bulletin can be used for analyzing fields due to almost all antennas, although the resulting estimates for power density may be overly-conservative in some cases. Nonetheless, for general radiators and for aperture antennas, if the user is knowledgeable about antenna gain, frequency, power and other relevant factors, the equations in this section can be used to estimate field strength and power density as described earlier.

In addition, other resources are available to amateur radio operators for analyzing fields near their antennas. The ARRL Radio Amateur Handbook contains an excellent section on analyzing amateur radio facilities for compliance with RF guidelines. Also, the FCC and the EPA conducted a study of several amateur radio stations in 1990 that provides a great deal of measurement data for many types of antennas commonly used by amateur operators.

Amateur radio organizations and licensees are encouraged to develop their own more detailed evaluation models and methods for typical antenna configurations and power/frequency combinations. The FCC is working with the amateur radio community to develop a supplement to this bulletin that will be designed specifically for evaluating amateur radio installations.

For example, the supplement will contain information on projected minimum exclusion distances from typical amateur antenna installations. The supplement should be completed soon after release of this bulletin. Once the amateur radio supplement is released by the FCC it will be made available for downloading at the FCC's World Wide Web Site for "RF safety."

Amateur radio applicants and licensees are encouraged to monitor the Web Site for release of the supplement. The address is: www.fcc.gov/oet/rfsafety. Information on availability of the supplement, as well as other RF-related questions, can be directed to the FCC's "RF Safety Program" at: (202) 418-2464 or to: rfsafety@fcc.gov. [End of Quote from OET Bulletin 65]

[Follows are the Part 1 rules that give the MPE formulas.]

§ 1.1310 Radiofrequency radiation exposure limits.

The criteria listed in table 1 shall be used to evaluate the environmental impact of human exposure to radiofrequency (RF) radiation as specified in § 1.1307(b), except in the case of portable devices which shall be evaluated according to the provisions of § 2.1093 of this chapter. [Sec. § 1307(b) states that a 'determination of compliance' is necessary unless categorically excluded. Evaluation is required if transmitter output exceeds levels specified in newly revised Sec. § 97.13(c)(1). Sec. § 2.1093 categorically excludes Part 97 Amateur handheld transceivers from routine evaluation.

Table 1. LIMITS FOR MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE EX-POSURE (MPE)

(A) Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (H) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm²)	Averaging Time E ² , H ² or S (minutes)
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	(100)*	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	(900/f)*	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500		-	f/300	6
1500-100,	000	- Garage	5	6

(B) Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure

Frequency Range (MHz)	Electric Field Strength (E) (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (H) (A/m)	Power Density (S) (mW/cm²)	Averaging Time E ² , H ² or S (minutes)
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	(100)*	30
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	(180/f²)*	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1500	_		f/1500	30
1500-100	,000		1.0	30

f = frequency in MHz *Plane-wave equivalent power density

NOTE 1: Occupational/controlled limits apply in situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment provided those persons are fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. Limits for occupational/controlled exposure also apply in situations when an individual is transient through a location where occupational/controlled limits apply provided he or she is made aware of the potential for exposure.

NOTE 2: General population/uncontrolled exposures apply in situations in which the general public may be exposed, or in which persons that are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be fully aware of the potential for exposure or can not exercise control over their exposure.